The doctoral program in developmental psychology is structured so that all requirements for the degree can be completed in five years. This entails satisfying all the requirements listed in the Psychology General Requirements Document and the Graduate Bulletin. This document represents a summary of the current requirements for students in the Developmental Program. Requirements might change, but will occur only with notice.

**REQUIRED DEVELOPMENTAL COURSEWORK**

In addition to general course requirements listed in the Departmental General Requirements Document and the University Graduate Bulletin, the Developmental Program Area requires the following courses:

- Concepts and Methods in Development (PSY 60200)
- Cognitive Development (PSY 60250)
- Socioemotional Development (PSY 60260)
- Developmental Psychopathology (PSY 60282)

Students will also complete the 2-semester Quantitative Methods (PSY 60100 and PSY 60101) sequence during their first year of study. The program encourages students to complete a course from the following offerings: Psychological Measurement and Test Development (PSY 60121), or Psychological Assessment I (PSY 60320). However, Concepts and Methods in Development meets the department requirement for a psychological measurement or advanced methods course.

The program encourages students to take additional quantitative courses during their residence, and to sign up for research, thesis and dissertation credits as applicable. The program also encourages completion of elective seminars offered in Developmental and other programs that complement or enhance student specializations.

**Recommended sequence and terminal program deadlines for non-course requirements**

For graduation in 5 years, the Developmental program recommends the following sequence:

- First-Year Project – Completed at the end of the first year
- Master’s Thesis – Proposed and defended during the second or third year
- Preliminary Exam – Completed during the third or fourth year
- Dissertation – Proposed and defended during the fourth or fifth year
The program maintains the following recommended and terminal deadlines:

First Year Project
The program accepts the first-year project presentation terminal deadline imposed by the Department of Psychology:
First week of classes in August of the student’s second year.

MA Thesis Defense
Recommended deadline, MA Thesis defense – April 10th, third year
   This deadline is in time for May graduation*
Terminal deadline, MA Thesis defense – July 13th, third year
   This deadline is in time for August graduation*

Preliminary Examination
Recommended deadline – August, at the start of the 4th year
Terminal deadline – January of the 4th year

Dissertation Defense
Although the terminal deadline is graduation in August, the program recommends graduation in May.
Recommended deadline, Dissertation defense – April 10th, fifth year
   This deadline is in time for May graduation*
Terminal deadline, Dissertation defense – July 13th, fifth year
   The terminal deadline is in time for August graduation*

*Note that the deadlines of 4/10 and 7/13 are Graduate School deadlines as of 2014-2015. Students should check these deadlines with the Graduate School as they get closer to their defense meetings, to ensure they have not changed. Note also that preliminary checks by the Graduate School of the master’s thesis and dissertation documents occur earlier than the defense deadlines. Students should consult the Graduate School for these formatting check deadlines.

Students who miss a terminal deadline will automatically be considered not in good standing. Students not in good standing may lose their funding and/or may be asked to leave the program. For further discussion of good standing, see Evaluation Criteria, Funding, and Supplementary Evaluations, below. In rare circumstances, students may request an extension of a terminal deadline. Students should request an extension form from the program director and the request will be considered by the Developmental Faculty. Faculty will consider the student’s progress in the program to date and the potential for the student to meet a new deadline, assuming that the request does not conflict with the Graduate School deadlines.

ANNUAL EVALUATIONS OF STUDENT PROGRESS BY PROGRAM FACULTY

The Developmental Program asks students to submit annual reports of accomplishments and activities. Such annual reporting is expected of faculty members and is often used for merit pay increases and recommendations for awards. Student annual reporting allows students to begin
such professional development practices and provides needed information to faculty for assessing student progress. Student annual reports are read by program faculty and feedback is provided through a program letter, and further information from the advisor.

Primary annual evaluations of student progress are conducted by program faculty in the first part of May. In preparation, all students submit specific information according to their year in the program (see below) by the last week in April. Additional information about any student can be submitted by faculty who are inside and outside of the program who may have had direct contact with the student (e.g., supervising class work, teaching, or other activities).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Annual Report: Developmental Program</th>
<th>Students in Years 1, 2, &amp; 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Please email your annual report and a copy of your Curriculum Vitae (CV) to the Developmental Psychology program director by the last week in April.

The following form is an annual report of your activities and accomplishments during the past year (e.g., 2014 - 2015). This report is used for a variety of reasons: to inform the developmental program faculty of your activities and achievements, to maintain a record of your progress through the requirements of the program, to supply a personal record for you.

If you need any information regarding these questions, please feel free to contact the program director or any other developmental faculty member.

Name:
Major Advisor:
Year Entered the Program:

Please provide information regarding all items and where relevant list faculty most closely associated with each.

1. Papers
   - Published or in press (list coauthors and place of publication)
   - Submitted (list coauthors and place submitted)

2. Presentations
   - At conferences (list co-authors); did the department or university provide any financial support to enable you to attend?
   - At departmental meetings (e.g., lunch meetings).

3. Research projects underway (include supervisor)

4. Courses taken, by semester (e.g., Fall 2015, Spring 2016), grades received (if Spring grades are known at this time).
5. Techniques learned (e.g., programming, statistical techniques, analyses).

6. Requirements satisfied (e.g., first-year project, master’s thesis proposal, master’s thesis defense, prelim exams).

7. Teaching (TA, grader, tutoring, etc.).

8. Departmental, university, or other service of any type.

9A. Honors and Awards received including external research support from prizes, student competitions, or regular grant proposals.

9B. Note any external funds applied for that weren’t funded.

10A. Next departmental and/or Graduate School milestone (e.g., MA defense, prelim exams)

10B. Target date, earliest possible and latest likely dates for satisfying next requirement (if target date does not meet a deadline, explain). Specify your current plans for satisfying this requirement.

11. Plans for summer not covered by preceding categories.

12. Plans for the upcoming academic year not covered by preceding categories. In particular, what courses do you plan to take next year? Also describe any other relevant plans beyond coursework.

---

**Annual Report: Developmental Program**

**Students in Year 4 and Year 5**

*(who will not complete the Ph.D. requirements in current year)*

Please prepare a copy of your CV and a statement that describes (1) your program of research; (2) your teaching philosophy and experience; and (3) your service to the department, University, and community; and (4) your goals for the next 1-2 years. Please email all materials to the program director by the last week in April.

---

**Annual Report: Developmental Program**

**Degree-Seeking Students**

*Students who will complete final degree requirements by August of current year*

Please email a copy of your CV and placement information to the program director and to Judy Stewart by the last week in April.

---

**Evaluation of Annual Reports**

Faculty will gather all relevant materials described above and will evaluate progress based on the following expectations:
Year 1

Successful completion of all first year courses

Progress on first year project

Student meets or exceeds expectations in TA responsibilities, if required

Involvement in research:

Student is thoroughly engaged in research advisor’s laboratory

Student is performing lab tasks at a level that meets or exceeds what is typically expected of a first-year student as assessed by advisor

Student is developing increasing proficiency with the techniques of data collection, management and analysis for the research in the advisor’s lab

Student is immersing him or herself in the literature base surrounding the research produced by the laboratory

Student is showing increased research-related efficacy

Student is taking on increased leadership responsibilities as expected by the advisor (e.g., supervising undergraduates, leading or co-leading meetings or other activities, trouble-shooting or problem-solving with the assistance of the advisor, but within the limits indicated by the advisor)

Student should be showing some independence of thought regarding new research ideas or in critiques of research issues as they arise in the lab

Student should be showing increasing initiative in activities related to the productivity of the lab

Written and oral skills meet or exceed expectations

Student is responsive to feedback about written work. Will complete revisions, shows ability to conceptualize abstract information and summarize knowledge in the field.

Student begins co-authorship and submission of papers and presentations, if appropriate

Work Habits:

Student demonstrates a willingness to work
Student is responsive to advisor feedback about work habits
Student strives to maintain good peer relations in the lab

Professionalism:

Student behaves in a manner consistent with openness to inquiry and the scientific enterprise

Student demonstrates ethical behavior in interacting with or discussing undergraduates, graduate student peers, and faculty

Student demonstrates understanding of the content of the APA Ethical Code of Conduct for research and should pass the online Human Subjects training certificate program (CITI) as part of orientation administered by the department. A copy of the CITI certificate should be placed in the student’s department file.

Student demonstrates respect for others by attending and by being punctual to classes, meetings, and departmental and program events; by informing relevant persons if absence is anticipated; by arriving prepared for classes and meetings, and by considering the needs of the group.

Student demonstrates a professional demeanor in how s/he speaks to and treats others, in being a team player, contributing to the community and intellectual life of the department.

Year 2

Successful completion of all second year courses

Presentation of first year project

Student meets expectations in TA responsibilities, if required

Continuing and deepening of Involvement in Research as described above, with additional emphasis on co-authorship and submission of papers and presentations.

Continuing Professionalism as described above

Successful proposal of Master’s Thesis project

Year 3

Successful completion of all third year courses

Student meets expectations in TA responsibilities, if required

Continuing and deepening of Involvement in Research as described above, with additional emphasis on co-authorship and submission of papers and presentations.

Continuing Professionalism as described above.
Successful defense of Master’s Thesis project, with submission of the project for publication. *The terminal deadline for the defense is July 13th, third year.*

Progress toward the preliminary examination

**Year 4**

Successful completion of fourth year courses, if any

Student meets expectations in TA/IOR responsibilities, if required

Continuing and deepening of Involvement in Research as described above, with additional emphasis on first-authorship and co-authorship of papers and presentations.

Continuing Professionalism as described above

Successful completion of the preliminary examination. *The terminal deadline for the preliminary examination is January of the 4th year.*

Progress on the dissertation proposal

**Year 5**

Student meets or exceeds expectations in TA responsibilities or IOR responsibilities

Continuing and deepening of Involvement in Research as described above, with additional emphasis on first-authorship and co-authorship of papers and presentations.

Continuing Professionalism as described above

Successful defense of the dissertation in time for May or August graduation. *The terminal date is July 13th, fifth year, which is the deadline for August graduation.*

**Result of the Annual Evaluation**

The result of each annual evaluation will be a determination of whether each student is in good standing with respect to progress in coursework and research, teaching, and service. Program faculty will review each student’s progress with respect to deadlines, as well as other qualitative information, and will collectively determine if the student will be considered to be in good standing. The program director will write a letter to the student, briefly stating whether the student is in good standing and whether funding will continue for the next year. The letter will also note student strengths as well as suggestions or recommendations related to areas of coursework, research, teaching, service and goals. Copies of the program summary will be
submitted to the advisor and department for inclusion in the student’s department file. The student’s advisor will supplement the program letter with more detailed feedback.

The student will have an opportunity to respond to the written evaluation if he or she so chooses. Students not in good standing will have 30 days to appeal their status to the Graduate Curriculum Committee. To appeal, students should first meet with their advisor. If the matter remains unsettled, students may then write a letter to the program director. If students are still unsatisfied, they may appeal to the Graduate Committee.

For students not in good standing, the letter written by the advisor must contain a specific plan agreed to by the program, advisor, and the student that specifies how the student can regain good standing. The plan would need to detail specific strategies and deadlines for completing any unmet requirements, along with a date for regaining good standing status, provided that the student has satisfied the stated requirements. The letter must also clearly state the consequences and the date they will occur should the student not meet the stated requirements. Generally, evidence of improvement must be demonstrated within three months of the determination of “not in good standing.” Any improvement plans, including outcomes and dates, must be approved by the program director and the graduate committee before the letter is sent to the student.

A student not in good standing may have funding removed for part or all of a current or upcoming semester or year and might also be asked to leave the program and department. A date must be stated by which funding will be removed and the student asked to leave. Generally, funding would be stopped three months after the negative evaluation, if there is not enough evidence of improvement. The student may also be asked to leave the program at this time.

In the early August following the annual evaluation, a supplementary evaluation will be conducted to gauge progress of students who have previously been determined to be not in good standing. Feedback letters written by the program director regarding progress toward goals will be sent to these students and copies placed in their department files.

**FUNDING**

Funding is guaranteed for the first through fifth years provided the student remains in good standing. A student not in good standing may have funding removed for part or all of a current or upcoming semester or year and might also be asked to leave the program and department. A date must be stated by which funding will be removed and the student asked to leave.

Requests for department funding in a student’s 6th year will be considered for students in good standing who have been actively involved in research but who anticipate needing more time to complete the dissertation and produce publications. These requests will be first approved by the advisor and the program and then submitted for final approval to the Graduate Curriculum Committee. A compelling rationale as well as a detailed plan and timeline for completion must be included in the request. Requests are due to the Director of Graduate studies by December 1st of the 5th year of a student’s program. Note that discussions between advisors and students about remaining in residence beyond the 5th year should occur at the end of the 4th year.
Furthermore, the program should be informed of potential 6th year plans at the student’s 4th year evaluation meeting, when discussing student 4th year progress.

If funded by the department in their 6th year, students typically will be expected to meet the April 11th deadline for the defense, in time for graduation in May. If circumstances of the dissertation work require additional time into the summer (with completion of the terminal deadline of July 13th for the defense, followed by graduation in August), continuance of funding into the summer will be considered. However, this summer contingency must be anticipated in the plan submitted the prior December.

INVITATION TO THE PH.D.

The program will also conduct a specific advancement to Ph.D. work evaluation of every student in the program within 4 weeks of a student’s successful defense of the master’s thesis or at the end of three academic years in the program. The evaluation will be based on prior annual evaluations, the master’s project, the CV, grades, research, teaching, and service. The program will determine if the student should proceed with additional preparation for the Ph.D. or should terminate at the point of evaluation (which may include a master’s degree).

If there is at least a clear majority vote, the program director will complete a brief letter summarizing the faculty’s judgment and the factors most relevant to this judgment. The original goes to the student and copies go to the advisor, and the student’s department file.

If there was not at least a clear majority vote then the case would be forwarded to the Graduate Committee for review before the student is permitted to proceed with work specific to the Ph.D. (e.g., prelim preparation). In such a case, all program faculty would prepare written justification for their votes. These justifications are provided to the Graduate Committee with other supporting documents (e.g., program standards and procedures, annual reports, transcript) prior to the meeting to review the case. Students may not proceed to doctoral work until the Graduate Committee reviews the case.

PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION

The doctoral preliminary examination is an exam in the major field of study, developmental psychology. Its purpose is to assess students’ knowledge of their area of specialization within psychology.

Specific information for the concept paper is provided in separate documents.